1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	
10	ELISEO A. HERNANDEZ-HERNANDEZ,
11	Petitioner, No. C 04-3308 JSW
12	V.
13 14	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE,  ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE
15	Respondent.
16	/
17	On May 13, 2005 Respondent filed a Notice of Change of Law, informing the Court of
18	the recently-enacted REAL ID Act of 2005, PL 109-13, Div. B, 119 Stat. 231 ("REAL ID Act").
19	Section 106(a) of the REAL ID Act amends section 242 of the Immigration and Nationality Act,
20	8 U.S.C. § 1252 to provide that:
21	Netwished a discount of a second constitution of large (et algebra and a second constitution of large constitu
22	Notwithstanding any other provision of law (statutory or nonstatutory), including section 2241 of title 28, United States Code, or any other habeas corpus provision, and sections
23	1361 and 1651 of such title, a petition for review filed with an appropriate court of appeals in accordance with this section shall be the sole and exclusive means for judicial review of an order of removal entered or issued under any provision of this Act, except
24	as provided in subsection (e).
25	The REAL ID Act is retroactive and thus, applies to currently pending habeas petitions. See §
26	106(b) of the REAL ID Act. Pursuant to section 106(c) of the REAL ID Act, district courts are
27	directed to transfer all pending habeas petitions filed under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 challenging a final
28	administrative order of removal, deportation, or exclusion, to the court of appeals for the circuit

## Case 3:04-cv-03308-JSW Document 28 Filed 05/17/05 Page 2 of 2

7 8

in which a petition for review could have been properly filed. See § 106(c) of the REAL ID Act.

Based on her filing a motion to reopen with the Board of Immigration Appeals,
Petitioner filed a request to continue the hearing date in the above-captioned matter which is
currently scheduled for May 19, 2005. Petitioner noted, but chose not to substantively respond
to, Respondent's filing of the Notice of Change of Law and request to transfer the case to the
Ninth Circuit. The language of the REAL ID Act requires this Court to transfer this case to the
Ninth Circuit. Accordingly, the Court HEREBY VACATES the hearing date scheduled for
May 19, 2005 and TRANSFERS the above-captioned matter to the Ninth Circuit. The Clerk is
directed to transfer this case forthwith.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 17, 2005

/s/ Jeffrey S. White JEFFREY S. WHITE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE